



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/876,354	06/06/2001	Jody L. Terrill	1006137-1	9168
7590 09/21/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			WU, QING YUAN	
Intellectual Prop	perty Administration	[
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2194	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7								
)		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•		09/876,354	TERRILL ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Qing-Yuan Wu	2194					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exten after 5 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, cation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX , by statute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. , may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status								
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>6/29/05</i>						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	☐ This action is non-final.						
'=	Since this application is in condition for	_	al matters, prosecution as to th	e merits is				
-	closed in accordance with the practice	•	• •					
Disposition	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-20,22-33 and 35-43</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) ⊠ ′	Claim(s) <u>1-14, 16-20, 22-33, 35-43</u> is/a	re rejected.						
7) 🗌	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	on Papers							
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the at	tached Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
*\$	ee the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copie	es not received.					
Attachment	(2)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08) 5) ∐ Not 6) ∏ Oth	tice of Informal Patent Application (PTG er:	U-152)				
		· —						

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-14, 16-20, 22-33, and 35-43 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. The following terms are not clearly defined:
 - i. As per claims 7-8, it is uncertain whether "the unique identifier" refers to "a unique job identifier" as stated in claim 1, line 7 (i.e. if they are the same then "the unique job identifier" should be used throughout all the claims).
 - ii. As per claims 7-9, it is uncertain whether "the unique identifier, the preprint information and the post-print information" are correlated prior to sending (i.e. are these the correlated information?).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2194

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-14, 16-20, 22-33, and 35-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Kujirai et al (hereafter Kujirai) (US Patent 6,618,566), and further in view of Kassan et al (hereafter Kassan) (US PG Pub 20020161717A1).
- 6. Kujirai and Kassan were cited in the last office action.
- As to claim 1, AAPA teaches a computer-implemented method comprising:

 obtaining pre-print information about the print job [AAPA, pg. 1, line 25];

 obtaining post-print information about the print job [AAPA, pg. 1, line 26-pg. 2, line 4];

 correlating the pre-print information and the post-print information [AAPA, pg. 1, line 23].
- 8. AAPA does not specifically teach the step of associating a print job with a unique job identifier prior to sending the job to a printing device. However, Kujirai teaches associating a job identifier with a print job when spooling the print job in the spooler (prior to sending the job to a printing device) [Kujirai, col. 7, lines 24-51]. It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have combined the teaching of

Art Unit: 2194

AAPA with the teaching of Kujirai because the teaching of Kujirai would improve the ease of identifying a print job with its corresponding job identifier.

- 9. Furthermore AAPA does not specifically teach correlating using the unique job identifier. However, Kassan teaches correlating information based on an identifier [Kassan, pg. 9, paragraph 173]. It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have combined the teaching of AAPA with the teaching of Kassan because the teaching of Kassan can improve the correlating print information by simply matching/correlate print information based on the same identifier.
- 10. As to claim 2, AAPA as modified teaches the invention substantially as claimed including wherein the pre-print information is received from an operating system [AAPA, pg. 1, lines 25-26].
- 11. As to claim 3, AAPA as modified teaches the invention substantially as claimed including wherein the post-print information is obtained from a peripheral [AAPA, pg. 2, lines 1-4].
- 12. As to claim 4, this claim is rejected for the same reason as claim 3 above. In addition, AAPA as modified does not specifically teach a facsimile machine. However, it would have

Art Unit: 2194

been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have include a facsimile machine because it provides similar functionality as a printer.

- 13. As to claim 5, AAPA as modified does not specifically teach SNMP Gets. However, AAPA as modified disclosed an application in a management server that obtains the post-print information from the printer's job table [AAPA, pg. 2, lines 1-4]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have included various means of obtaining post-print information.
- 14. As to claim 6, AAPA as modified teaches the invention substantially including storing the unique identifier, the pre-print information and the post-print information [AAPA, pg. 2, lines 1-4].
- 15. As to claim 7, AAPA as modified does not specifically teach sending the unique identifier, the pre-print information and the post-print information to a job table on a peripheral. However, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to send the collected/correlated information to a different storage device for temporary storage prior to sending it to the management server.

Art Unit: 2194

16. As to claim 8, AAPA as modified teaches the invention substantially including sending the unique identifier, the pre-print information and the post-print information to a management server [AAPA, pg. 2, lines 1-4].

- 17. As to claims 9-11, AAPA as modified does not specifically teach transferring the preprint information and the post-print information to a management server upon realization of a
 threshold, wherein the threshold is selected from a group of thresholds comprising an elapsed
 time threshold, a storage level threshold and a print job quantity threshold, and adjusting a value
 at which the threshold triggers the transfer of data. However, AAPA as modified disclosed
 collecting pre-print and post-print information [pg. 2, lines 1-4]. It would have been obvious to
 one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have recognized the
 limited storage capacity of any storage medium and the importance of backing up essential
 information upon realization of reaching a certain threshold, adjusting the threshold.
- As to claims 12-14, AAPA as modified does not specifically teach polling a peripheral to determine if the peripheral has finished with the print job, and polling step comprises varying the rate of polling as the peripheral works on the print job, and requesting the peripheral to send a trap with print information. However, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have recognized that post-print information cannot be obtained unless the print job is completed and provide a mean of communicating the completion.

Application/Control Number: 09/876,354

Art Unit: 2194

19. As to claim 38, AAPA as modified teach the invention substantially including wherein associating is performed by a print server that receives the print job from a user device and forwards the print job to the printing device [col. 7, lines 49-51; AAPA, pg. 1, lines 10-11].

Page 7

- 20. As to claims 39-40, AAPA as modified teaches the invention substantially including wherein the pre-print information includes information as to an owner of the document and as to an application that was used to create the document [AAPA, pg. 1, lines 17-19].
- As to claims 41-43, AAPA as modified teaches the invention substantially including wherein the post-print information includes information as to time required to print, quantity of toner used to print, and information as to success or failure of printing [AAPA, pg. 1, lines 20-22]
- AAPA as modified teaches sending the print job to a printer [Kujirai, col. 12, lines 51-53].

 AAPA as modified does not specifically teach configuring a port monitor with a management server. However, AAPA as modified disclosed the method as recited in claim 1. It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have include or configure a module to facilitate various task for the management server.
- 23. As to claim 17, this claim is rejected for the same reason as claims 9 and 16 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/876,354

Art Unit: 2194

24. As to claim 18, AAPA as modified does not specifically teach generating a user interface

Page 8

on the management server that is supported by HTML. However, AAPA as modified disclosed

an application for collecting pre-print and post-print information [AAPA, pg. 2, lines 1-4]. It

would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made, to have include the user interface supported by HTML because it's well know in the art

that it would improve the versatility by providing cross platform compatibility.

25. As to claims 19-20, these claims are rejected for the same reason as claims 4, and 12-13

above.

26. As to claims 22 and 31, these claims are rejected for the same reason as claims 1-2, 4, 16,

and 19 above.

- 27. As to claim 23, this claim is rejected for the same reason as claim 20 above.
- 28. As to claims 24-26, these claims are rejected for the same reason as claims 9-11 above.
- 29. As to claims 27-30, these are system claims for performing method claims 1-2, 16 and
- 22. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reason as claims 1-2, 16 and 22 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/876,354

Art Unit: 2194

30. As to claims 32-33, these are computer readable media having computer readable

instructions claims that correspond to the method claims 4, and 12-13. Therefore, they are

rejected for the same reason as claims 12-13 above.

31. As to claims 35-37, these are computer-readable medium having computer-readable

instructions and computer having a processor capable of reading a computer readable medium

claims that correspond to the method claims 1, 16, and 31. Therefore, they are rejected for the

same reason as claims 1, 16, and 31 above.

Response to Arguments

- 32. Applicant's arguments filed 6/29/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 33. In the remarks, Applicant argued in substance that:
 - a. In view of the amendments, the 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejections should be withdrawn.
 - b. 1) There is no motivation to combine the teachings of Kujirai and Kassan with the known process of collecting pre-print and post-print information.
 - 2) The examiner is using hindsight reconstruction.
- 34. Examiner respectfully traversed Applicant's remarks:

Page 9

Art Unit: 2194

35. As to point (a), the corrections indicated by applicant were not reflected on the amendment filed, therefore the rejection is maintain.

- As to point (b), please refer to paragraphs 8-9 above. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper.
- 37. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2194

38. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Qing-Yuan Wu whose telephone number is (571) 272-3776. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Qing-Yuan Wu

Examiner

Art Unit 2194

TECHNOLOGY CENTRE. . ..